[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 10 September 2026 23:00
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

Categories: [redacted]

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843 for the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE, and to request that planning permission be refused.

This proposal is exceptionally large for an isolated rural location that lacks the necessary infrastructure, services, and public transport. Additionally, a smaller previous proposal on this site was refused by an independent Planning Inspector.

The submitted evidence is incomplete, leaving fundamental technical and safety matters unresolved:

- Ground Contamination & Ecology: Outstanding ecological work and unresolved contamination risks, with no borehole investigations conducted despite known issues.
- Flooding, Drainage & Wastewater: Unresolved drainage strategies, high surface-water flood risks, and no confirmed permanent wastewater solution.
- Infrastructure & Fire Safety: Unconfirmed permanent electricity supply and outstanding fire safety concerns.
- Highways & Transport: The Transport Assessment incorrectly identifies the main access road, while the serving B4011 has poor pedestrian infrastructure and a history of serious collisions.

Furthermore, the consultation period is insufficient to properly review over 1,000 pages of complex technical documents. Standard planning processes allow at least 21 days; therefore, I request that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, ending on Monday 28 September 2026.

Due to these critical deficiencies, the applicant has failed to demonstrate that Site A is suitable for a development of this scale. Should you nevertheless be minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision be made until all outstanding technical assessments regarding drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, safety, power, noise, and decommissioning are fully completed and made available for public scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

Simon Clinch